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Abstract—The transformation of smectite into kaolinite and kaolinite-smectite interstratification were studied in samples belonging to the Argiles Plastiques formation of the Paris basin, by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). Two original smectite phases, 1) beidellite with 1-nm-thick layers, and 2) beidellite-montmorillonite with 1.25-nm-thick layers, are progressively transformed into kaolinite-smectite mixed-layer minerals, and into kaolinite. As the percentage of kaolinite layers increases in the interlayered minerals, the kaolinite-smectite layer sequences, initially disordered, become locally more ordered, with the presence of KS and KKS units repeated 2 to 4 times (K = kaolinite layer, S = smectite layer). Two solid-state mechanisms seem to be responsible for the formation of kaolinite: 1) the transformation of 1 smectite layer into 1 kaolinite layer, denoted S → K, by stripping of a tetrahedral sheet and the adjacent interlayer region; 2) the intercalation of 1 kaolinite layer into smectite, denoted 0 (zero) → K. Structural and chemical incidences of these mechanisms are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Smectite, kaolinite and interlayered kaolinite-smectite are found in earth-surface conditions (soils, weathering profiles, etc.). Mixed-layer kaolinite-smectite was detected by X-ray diffraction (XRD) as randomly interstratified layers (Schultz et al. 1971; Wiewi6ra 1971; Wilson and Cradwick 1972; Triki et al. 1973; Thiry 1973), and as 1-1 ordered interstratification (that is, . . . KSKS . . . , where K and S denote a kaolinite and a smectite layer, respectively: Thomas 1989; Bertolino et al. 1991). Although direct observation of the individual layers and the interstratification sequences is possible with HRTEM (in the case of mixed-layer illite-smectite: Veblen et al. 1990; Jiang et al. 1990; Amouric and Olives 1991; Murakami et al. 1993; Olives and Amouric 1994), no such study exists for interlayered kaolinite-smectite, to our knowledge.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this paper (and in Olives and Amouric 1995), we present our first HRTEM and analytical electron microscopy (AEM) results on samples—supplied by M. Thiry—that come from the Early-Eocene Argiles Plastiques formation (Paris basin), in which the main clay minerals are smectite, mixed-layer kaolinite-smectite and kaolinite. These minerals were formed in tropical weathering profiles that developed at the end of Cretaceous time on preexisting rocks (mainly Cretaceous flint-bearing chalk), with a progressive evolution from smectite to interstratified kaolinite-smectite, and to kaolinite (Thiry et al. 1977). This is an especially significant sequence in that all intermediate stages of the smectite-to-kaolinite transformation can be found. The present study concerns 3 samples, in which mixed-layer kaolinite-smectite contains 10, 45 and 60% of kaolinite layers, respectively (determined by XRD; Thiry 1991).

HRTEM experimental procedures are described in Amouric and Olives (1991) and Amouric et al. (1995). The samples, reduced to powders and embedded in Araldite resin, were sectioned with the diamond knife of an LKB ultramicrotome. The sections (less than 50 nm thick) were deposited on carbon-coated copper grids (by this technique, smectite layers are better preserved from dehydration than by ion-milling). Bright-field images were taken with a JEOL JEM-2000 FX electron microscope (200-kV accelerating voltage, 1.8-mm spherical aberration coefficient), with a 50-μm objective aperture and at focus values in the range -100 to +100 nm. According to the literature (for example, Ahn and Peacor 1989; Veblen et al. 1990; Amouric and Olives 1991), it is very difficult to obtain high-quality HRTEM images of interstratified clays (white fringes will generally appear corrugated, vanishing in some parts and sometimes variable in thickness). This is particularly true for smectite-kaolinite mixtures, for which no HRTEM study has been described up to now. In addition, the minerals studied here have formed during a weathering-alteration process; they are thus rather badly crystallized and difficult to characterize. To minimize possible beam damage to the specimens, tilting procedures were therefore omitted. In addition, a low-light camera (LHESA EMLH 4086) equipped with an yttrium aluminium garnet (YAG) converter was systematically used under very low illumination. As a result, 1-dimensional images were mainly analyzed in this work. However, most of these showed characteristic 00l lattice fringes of the mineral phases present in the samples. Simultaneously, AEM analyses were carried out on the same samples using...
Figure 1. Lattice fringe images of a) an S type smectite crystal, with 1-nm-thick layers, and b) an S’ type one, having 1.25-nm-thick layers.

Figure 2. Lattice fringe images of various interstratified kaolinite-smectites of the 10%-kaolinite sample. S = S type smectite layer (1 nm thick). K = kaolinite layer (0.72 nm thick). In c), the lateral transition S→K is indicated by arrows.

RESULTS

Lattice-fringe spacings were generally measured between white fringes on the micrographs; however, HRTEM images of kaolinite single layers were especially difficult to obtain. Indeed, when individually observed in a stack, such layers may appear either as 0.7-nm-spaced fringes or as broad white fringes (with a narrow gray line sometimes visible in the middle) of 0.7-nm thickness. But kaolinite layers were often recognized as occurring in KS and KKS units, more or less periodically repeated in the stacks.

The 10%-Kaolinite Sample

In this sample, 2 types of smectite crystals were observed. The first type, denoted S, gives images showing only 1-nm fringe spacings (Figure 1a). In the other type, denoted S’, the fringes are 1.25-nm regularly spaced (Figure 1b). AEM chemical analyses (15 to 20 analyses for each species) show that S crystals have a composition corresponding to the mean formula:

$$(\text{Ca}_{0.03}\text{Mg}_{0.09}\text{K}_{0.02})(\text{Si}_{3.74}\text{Al}_{0.26})(\text{Al}_{1.76}\text{Fe}^{III}_{0.24})\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2$$

while that for S’ crystals is:

$$(\text{Ca}_{0.06}\text{Mg}_{0.12})(\text{Si}_{3.88}\text{Al}_{0.12})(\text{Al}_{1.66}\text{Fe}^{III}_{0.19}\text{Mg}_{0.15})\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2$$

(in these formulas, standard deviations are 0.06 for Si and Al, 0.05 for Mg and Fe, and 0.02 for Ca and K).

In this sample, the 1-nm smectite crystals are more abundant than the 1.25-nm ones.

In addition to these smectite crystals, we also observed mixed-layer kaolinite–smectite. The kaolinite–smectite layer sequences are disordered, as illustrated in Figure 2. The interstratified smectite fringes are mainly 1 nm thick, and then interpreted to be of the S type. Although rare, some 1.25-nm smectite fringes (S’ type) have been also observed. Finally, lateral transitions of 1 S smectite layer into 1 kaolinite layer are visible in images of the same specimen (Figure 2c). Such transitions are denoted S→K.

The 45%-Kaolinite Sample

In this sample, we observed interlayered kaolinite–smectite containing both S and S’ layers, in similar proportions (Figure 3). The S fringes are dominant and the S’ ones rare in some areas (as in Figures 3a and 3b), or vice versa in others. Large fringe spacings of...
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Figure 3. Lattice fringe images of various interstratified kaolinite-smectites of the 45%-kaolinite sample. Note the presence of KS, KS', and KKS units. In a), the arrows indicate the lateral transition S'→K.

1.75 nm (Figure 3b), 2 nm and 2.4 nm (Figure 3c) have been respectively interpreted as KS, KS', and KKS (or KSK) units. The repetition of such units was frequently observed, for example, (KS)(KS) in Figure 3b and (KKS)(KKS) in Figure 3c. As in the preceding sample, lateral transitions of a smectite layer into a kaolinite layer were also observed (for example, an S'→K transition in Figure 3a).

The 60%-Kaolinite Sample

The smectite layers present in mixed-layer kaolinite-smectite are mainly of the S' type (Figure 4). A few S layers are also present. With respect to the preceding sample, we observed a larger proportion of KS' units, the presence of KKS' units, 2.7 nm thick, these units being repeated more times: for example, 4 repeated KS' units in Figure 4a and 3 repeated KKS' units in Figure 4b. In addition to the lateral transitions S'→K (Figure 4c), we also observed another type of lateral change: the transition of an S' smectite layer into a KS' unit, which may be labelled S'→KS' (Figures 4a and 4d). Such a transition may be interpreted as the partial intercalation of 1 kaolinite layer in smectite, and is denoted 0 (zero)→K.

DISCUSSION

TEM/AEM

The 2 types of smectite crystals observed in the 10%-kaolinite sample, denoted S and S', probably correspond to 2 distinct phases. Indeed, the S type cannot reasonably be considered as a collapsed form of the S' type which would have occurred during the experimental process, because, in such a case, some collapsed 1-nm-thick layers would be present in S' crystals, which was never observed. In addition, our experimental techniques (ultramicrotome cutting, low electron-beam intensity) minimized the possibility of collapse of smectite layers. Lastly, the chemical formulas determined for S and S' crystals (see above), although not very different, actually correspond to 2 distinct compositions, according to the standard devi-
Kaolinite and smectite intercalation levels. Some of them are marked with indices, for the discussion. In the right side of the figure, a double structure is probably present: $T_1T_2$, structure of smectite type, and $T_3O_1T_2$ of kaolinite type.

The S and S' smectite crystals thus being identified as a beidellite (or beidellite–nontronite) composition for S, and a beidellite–montmorillonite intermediate composition (with also a nontronite component) for S'. The S and S' smectite crystals thus being identified as 2 probable distinct phases, we have then interpreted 1-nm smectite layers to be of the S type and 1.25-nm ones of the S' type, in all the studied samples and the observed interlayered sequences.

In contrast with the disordered kaolinite–smectite layer sequences of the 10%-kaolinite sample, the observed repetition of KS, KS' and KKS units indicates some degree of local order in interlayered kaolinite–smectite of the 45%-kaolinite sample: R1 or first-neighbor order type for KS and KS'; R2 or second-neighbor order type for KKS. A higher degree of local order is present in the 60%-kaolinite sample, as indicated by the larger proportion of KS' units (R1 order), the presence of KKS' units (R2 order) and the greater number of repeated units.

Mechanisms

The above HRTEM observations of the lateral transitions S (or S')--→K and 0→K indicate 2 possible solid-state mechanisms that are responsible for kaolinite formation: 1) the lateral transformation of 1 smectite layer into 1 kaolinite layer (S--→K or S'--→K); 2) the lateral intercalation of 1 kaolinite layer in smectite (0→K). As usual in phyllosilicates, the term “solid-state” is here considered in a wide sense, since the preceding transitions obviously imply mass transports. It merely indicates that these mechanisms occur within the solid, at a cell scale (at the front of the new developing layer, the reaction being probably facilitated by the presence of water) and preserve the major part of the preexisting solid. The 1 layer→1 layer solid-state transformation has been reported in various cases: 1 biotite layer→1 chlorite layer, equivalent to 1 interlayer K plane→1 brucite-like layer (Olives et al. 1983; Olives and Amouric 1984; Olives 1985; Maresch et al. 1985), 1 biotite layer→1 brucite-like layer (equivalent to 2 biotite layers→1 chlorite layer; Veblen and Ferry 1983; Eggleton and Banfield 1985), 1 smectite layer→1 illite layer (Amouric and Olives 1991; Murakami et al. 1993), or 1 serpentine layer→1 illite layer (Amouric et al. 1995). Note that the mechanism S→K (or S'→K), observed in our 3 samples, produces a decrease in volume, whereas the mechanism 0→K (observed in the 60%-kaolinite sample) implies an increase in volume.

Structural Incidence

In mechanism 0→K, a kaolinite layer K is intercalated between 2 smectite layers S and S', that is, between the interlayer atoms and the tetrahedral level of a smectite layer (Figure 5). These interlayer atoms are preserved, since no diminution of layer thickness has been observed (indeed, the removal of the interlayer water molecules would reduce the thickness of an S' layer from 1.25 to 1 nm, and that of a KS' unit from 2 to 1.75 nm).

In order to compare this 0→K mechanism with the S (or S')→K one, it will be useful to determine what structural defect is produced by each mechanism. In addition to the shift that is normal to the layers (due to the increase or decrease in volume), such a defect generally involves a shift parallel to the layers. In the following, we try to estimate this “additional basal shift”, for the 0→K mechanism. Let $S_1S_2$ denote the basal shift between $S_1$ and $S_2$ (that is, that between the centers of hexagons of tetrahedra $T_1$ and those of $T_2$; Figure 5), $S_1K$ the basal shift between the same levels $T_1$ and $T_2$ when K is intercalated between $S_1$ and $S_2$, $S_1K$ the basal shift between $T_1$ and $T_2$, and $KKS_2$ that between $T_1$ and $T_2$. The intercalation of K might then produce an additional basal shift between $S_1$ and $S_2$ (that is, a difference of basal shifts between the right side and the left side of Figure 5), given by:

$$S_1KS_2 \text{ (right side)} - S_1S_2 \text{ (left side)} = (S_1K + KS_2) - S_1S_2$$

$$= S_1K - \frac{a_K}{3} - S_1S_2 \quad [1]$$

$a_K$ being defined in Figure 6. Note that, if the interlayer shift $S_1K$ can be an arbitrary vector of the basal plane (as it occurs in smectite turbostratic stacking), its value would probably be such that the additional basal shift—written above—vanishes, in order to minimize the elastic distortion between $S_1$ and $S_2$. But this is not the only possible case, and an additional basal shift may subsist.

In the other mechanism, S (or S')→K, the main structural modification probably involves the removal (or stripping) of a tetrahedral sheet and the adjacent interlayer region (Figure 7). As above, let $S_1S_2$ denote the basal shift between $T_1'$ and $T_2$ (Figure 7), $S_1$ that...
between T_1 and T', and KS_2 that between T_1 and T_2 (for the KS_2 stacking). As in the preceding case, this transformation S→K would generally produce an additional basal shift between T_1 and T_2, given by:

\[
\text{KS}_2 \text{ (right side of Figure 7)} - (S_1 + S_1S_2) \text{ (left side)}
\]

\[
= \frac{a_K}{3} - \left( \frac{a_K}{3} + S_1S_2 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{a_K}{6} + \frac{b_K}{6} - S_1S_2
\]

\[
= \frac{a_K}{6} + \frac{b_S}{6} - S_1S_2
\]

[2]

where \(a_K\), \(b_K\), \(a_S\), \(b_S\) are defined in Figure 8, the vectors \((a_K, b_K)\) being rotated by 60° from \((a_S, b_S)\), that is, \(a_K = \frac{a_S}{2} - \frac{b_S}{2}, b_K = \frac{3a_S}{2} + \frac{b_S}{2}\) (assuming the trans-octahedral sites vacant in \(S_1\)). Note that this additional basal shift is generally not equal to 0, except if the interlayer shift \(S_1S_2\) has the particular value \(a_K/6 + b_S/6\).

Thus, the 2 preceding mechanisms produce a bending of the layers (associated with the increase or the decrease in volume), and an additional basal shift, which may vanish in some cases. According to the nature of interlayer atoms, it seems probable that the S' smectite stacking is mainly turbostratic (absence of K, presence of \(H_2O\)), while the S smectite one is mainly semi-random (presence of K, absence of \(H_2O\)). With such an assumption, the \(0→K\) mechanism would be easier in S' smectite than in S smectite, since the additional basal shift vanishes only in the former case (as discussed above). This is consistent with our observations: the \(0→K\) mechanism is only present in the S'-rich sample, that is, the 60%-kaolinite one. In order to compare the S (or S')→K and 0→K mechanisms, complementary chemical considerations are needed.

Chemical Aspects

In the S (or S')→K mechanism, a tetrahedral sheet and the adjacent interlayer region are removed (Figure 7). In addition, the remaining tetrahedral and octahedral sheets of the smectite layer (\(T_1\) and \(O_1\) in Figure 7) are slightly modified, Al being replaced by Si in the tetrahedral sheet and, in the octahedral sheet, Fe and Mg being replaced by Al, and H being added to the outer oxygens. The corresponding global chemical reaction would be:

\[
\text{Figure 8. Stacking structures of the levels T', and T above T'O_1, with the notations of Figure 7. Views normal to the layers, from top to bottom of Figure 7. The hexagonal networks of the cations of the levels T_1, O_1, T_1 and T_2 are respectively represented by dotted, short-dashed, long-dashed and solid lines. a) T'O_1T' stacking in the smectite layer S_1 (with trans-octahedral sites vacant). The symbols a_S and b_S are the usual basal cell vectors referred to the 1 layer S_1. b) T'O_1T_2 stacking with kaolinite structure (KS_2 region). The symbols a_K and b_K are the usual basal cell vectors of kaolinite (here limited to the T,O,T_2 structure).}
\]
CONCLUSIONS

HRTEM images of mixed-layer kaolinite–smectite have been obtained for the first time, to our knowledge.

In the studied samples, 2 original smectite phases are progressively transformed into interlayered kaolinite–smectite, and into kaolinite. The kaolinite–smectite layer sequences are disordered in the less-kaolinitized samples, and present an increasing local order, as the percentage of kaolinite layers increases (this is very similar to the case of interstratified illite–smectite; Amouric and Olives 1991; Olives and Amouric 1994).

Such an order is characterized by the repetition (a few times) of KS units (R1 order) and KKS ones (R2 order).

Two solid-state mechanisms (in the wide meaning of the expression) seem to be responsible for the formation of kaolinite: 1) the transformation of 1 smectite layer into 1 kaolinite layer, denoted S→K, by stripping of a tetrahedral sheet and the adjacent interlayer region; 2) the intercalation of 1 kaolinite layer into smectite, denoted 0 (zero)→K. The structural and chemical changes produced by these mechanisms are discussed in detail. It is shown that such specific changes may favor one mechanism or the other.
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In the less-kaolinitized samples, S smectite layers are dominant. The 2 mechanisms S→K and 0→K seem structurally equivalent, as both may produce an additional basal shift (see above). Nevertheless, the easier one is probably S→K, since very few atoms have to be supplied in this case. Our observations support this interpretation: the 0→K mechanism was not observed in the 10%- and 45%-kaolinite samples.

By comparing the 3 samples, we also observed that the relative abundance of the S’ smectite layers with respect to the S ones increases as the percentage of kaolinite layers increases. This variation may be explained by the above inference that the S→K mechanism is probably easier than the S’→K one.

By this process, the remaining smectite layers are mainly of S’ type, in the more-kaolinitized sample (60%-kaolinite). The 2 mechanisms S’→K and 0→K are then present, the former being favored from chemical arguments (few atoms supplied) and the latter from structural ones (no additional basal shift), as discussed above. These 2 mechanisms compensate each other, 0→K producing an increase in volume and S’→K a decrease in volume.
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