NANOMORPHOLOGY OF KAOLINITES: COMPARATIVE SEM AND AFM STUDIES
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Abstract—Nanomorphological structure of well-crystallized Georgia and poorly crystallized North Queensland kaolinite particles have been compared using field emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM). In general, there is good agreement in information from the 2 very different imaging techniques. AFM gives more detailed information on step and ledge dimensions, microvalleys and crystallographic orientation of irregularities on basal planes and edges of the crystallites. There are major differences in nanomorphology and surface structure between the 2 kaolin samples with the Georgia kaolin showing 200–500-nm, relatively flat basal planes with some cascade-like step growth 50–100 nm wide. The edges, apparently flat and right-angled in SEM images, appear beveled in AFM images due to artifacts from the aspect ratio of the AFM tip. The North Queensland kaolinite has much more complex surface structure with anhedral crystallites attached to larger particles, high density of steps and nm-scale irregularities (often crystallographically directed). The additional step edge site contribution from the attached crystallites is estimated as a minimum of 6%, giving a total edge contribution above 30% of the kaolinite total surface area. These structures will generate a substantial pH-dependent charge across the surfaces of the North Queensland kaolinite platelets. An idealized, uniform, pH-independent, negatively charged basal plane cannot be assumed from these structures. There is also some evidence, from both SEM and AFM images, of curvature in the thinner, poorly ordered structures of the North Queensland kaolinite particles.
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INTRODUCTION

Industrially, kaolins have found major applications in the paper, ceramic, paint, plastic, rubber and cracking catalyst industries. The morphology of kaolin has particular importance in paper filling and paper coating (Jepson 1984; Bundy and Ishley 1991). In addition to particle size and particle size distribution, particle shape, aspect ratio and aggregate structure have a dominant influence on the rheological character of kaolin slurries as well as properties like opacity, gloss, printability and, to a lesser extent, brightness. There is very wide variability in kaolin deposits and their measured properties are strongly dependent on weathering processes and degree of crystallinity. Particle sizes can vary from tens of nm to several μm with aspect ratios from 20–30 (for flat platelets) to close to 1 (for multilayered stacks). The crystallinity index, measured from X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns, is also highly variable between well-crystallized kaolins (such as Georgia) with values above 0.9 (Hinckley 1962) to very fine, poorly crystalline kaolins (such as North Queensland) with a Hinckley index 0.33 (our estimation).

The idealized structure of the kaolinite mineral is that of a single sheet of hydroxyls octahedrally coordinated to aluminium cations linked to a single sheet of oxygen tetrahedra centred by silicon atoms. The combined layer has a unit cell thickness of 0.713 nm (Grim 1968). It is believed that the (001) basal surfaces of kaolinite are flat with the silica basal plane carrying a constant, pH-independent negative charge alternatively attributed to either isomorphous replacement of Si⁴⁺ by Al³⁺ (Bolland et al. 1980) or positioning of the electro-negative oxygen atoms above the surface (Gerson 1997). Edge sites on the platelets have pH-dependent charge. A heteropolar model of kaolinite has determined acidity constants of pKa₁ = 5.0 and pKa₂ = 6.0 for AlOH and SiOH (respectively) edge groups on the kaolinite particles (Braggs et al. 1994).

The cation exchange capacity (CEC) clearly depends strongly on the surface charge densities on kaolinite at different pH as reviewed recently by Zhou and Gunter (1992), which, in turn, depend strongly on the proportion of edge (including steps and defects) sites. The surface area of the edges of a Cornwall kaolinite, measured by Ferris and Jepson (1975), was found to comprise 12% of the total surface area of that kaolinite which corresponded to an aspect ratio of about 14. Other work, such as Brady et al. (1996) and Zhou and Maurice (1995), reported from their SEM and AFM studies much larger edge contribution of 27–34% to the kaolinite surface area. These results support our data from AFM measurements of the Georgia KGa-1 and North Queensland kaolinites giving an edge area contribution of 26% for both samples (Zbik and Smart 1997). This suggests that the contribution of the edge areas assumed in previous studies (Wieland and Stumm 1992; Zhou and Gunter 1992) may also have been underestimated. This contention is sup-
ported by Brady et al. 1996 where the aspect ratio of Georgia KGa-1 kaolinite ranges from 2 to 10.

The morphology of kaolinite platelets has been studied extensively by electron microscopy since the introduction of these techniques in transmission and scanning modes. Electron micrographs of well-crystallized kaolinites generally show hexagonal and pseudo-hexagonal crystallites, often with an elongation parallel to either (010) or (110) planes (Grim 1968). The edges are generally at right angles but some examples of bevelled edges are observed. Poorly crystalline kaolin occurs in smaller and thinner particles than the well-crystallized mineral (Grim 1968). Disordered kaolinites show crystallites with poorly developed outlines in which it is difficult to assign an hexagonal structure. The edges of the flakes are usually jagged and irregular. Scanning electron microscopy, using vapor-deposited gold to decorate the basal faces, has revealed a multiplicity of microsteps on kaolinite platelets (Thompson et al. 1981). A focus of this study was also a direct search for possible micro- or nanomorphological differences according to diversity in mineral origin and crystallinity. The presence of similar, single-unit cell microsteps has been reported on hydrothermal illite by Blum (1994).

In the context of the work described here, it is also important to note that some poorly crystalline kaolinites, while giving XRD patterns indicating only the presence of kaolinite, have revealed curved and tubular particles as well as hexagonal flake-shaped units (Visconti and Nicot 1959; De Souza Santos et al. 1964). It appears that these particles do not have the halloysite structure and are sufficiently well organized to be classed structurally as kaolinite (De Souza Santos et al. 1965). Hyde (1993) has investigated aluminosilicate layer warping based on modulated kaolinite and imogolite structures. Where the average ring size of the tetrahedral and octahedral layers exceeds 6, curved hyperbolic kaolinites can result.

Until the advent of the high-resolution field emission scanning electron microscopes, most SEM papers describing kaolinite morphology had relatively poor resolution (practically 100–500 nm) and used electro-conductive coatings (10–30 nm thick). Together with the 2-dimensional nature of the technique, this limited the information largely to that describing shape and aggregate structure (Gard 1971; Smart and Tovey 1981; Grabowska-Olsezewska et al. 1984). The availability of AFM has now provided a second imaging technique for studies of surface morphology with atomic resolution on ideal surfaces (Hochella 1995; Smart 1998).

The aims of the work described in this contribution were twofold, namely, to compare directly the information on surface morphology of kaolinites derived from these 2 different techniques using very different bases of observation (secondary electron imaging and force-distance deflection), and to compare the data from a well-crystallized Georgia and poorly crystallized North Queensland kaolinite. The objective with both techniques was to achieve nm resolution. The SEM is capable of ±2 nm resolution at high voltage and for the AFM, with more common types of samples, a reasonable estimate for the accuracy of clay particle thickness measurements is ±0.3 nm (Blum 1994). This comparison has provided some insight into the differences in morphology, surface structure and, hence, reactivity between the 2 kaolinite forms. We can compare the real surface structures of the 2 kaolinites with the idealized platelet structure and make some estimates of the proportions of basal and edge sites.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

The Georgia kaolinite–hydrite PX used in the study was obtained from the Clay Minerals Society: a kaolinite (Kga-1) (Van Olphen and Fripiat 1979). It is a well-ordered, water-processed kaolinite quoted at 97% purity. Ninety percent by weight of the particles have an equivalent spherical diameter less than 2 μm with a median particle size of 0.7 μm and a specific surface area of 15.3 ± 0.5 m²g⁻¹ (BET nitrogen adsorption). The North Queensland kaolinite was supplied by Comalco Research Centre (Thomastown, Victoria, Australia).

The SEM studies were carried out using a CamScan FE microscope with a field emission gun operating normally at 20 kV acceleration voltage. The kaolinite particles were coated by gold/palladium films to a thickness of 2–3 nm using a Denton Magnetron Sputter Coater system. A Nanoscope II AFM (Digital Instruments Inc.) was used with scan heads A (1 × 1 μm) and E (14 × 14 μm) and scan rate between 5 and 15 Hz, in height and deflection modes. The AFM was calibrated on a gold grid with 5 μm pits separated by 5 μm. The standard pyramidal silicon nitride tip with a solid angle of 70° and a radius of curvature at the end of the tip of ~50 nm was mounted on a cantilever of nominal spring constant 0.06–0.58 Nm⁻¹.

For the AFM studies, kaolinite particles were immobilized on an atomically flat mica surface (freshly cleaved) from a dilute suspension in water. Three drops of ammonia solution were added to disperse the kaolinite, the sample was sonicated and allowed to sediment in a glass cylinder for 24 h. A small amount of suspension from the glass cylinder, (1 or 2 droplets) was placed on the freshly cleaved mica square. The particles were dried by heating to 400 °C for a few minutes. Particles with weak contact to the mica surface were removed in a stream of dry nitrogen gas. SEM examination showed that the remaining kaolinite particles were firmly attached to the mica surface in face or basal plane contact and were suitable for the AFM study. The standard deviation of the AFM measurements is ap-
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SEM micrographs of the Georgia well-crystallized kaolinite (Figure 1) show well-developed euhedral, hexagonal to pseudo-hexagonal shaped plates slightly elongated in 1 direction as previously reported (Grim 1968). The crystal in Figure 1A measures 300 nm in shorter dimension and 600 nm in longer dimension, has smooth surfaces (to 10 nm resolution in vertical dimensions) and distinct, right-angled edges. These edges are clearly defined (Figure 1B) and are thick compared to lateral dimensions, resulting in aspect ratios for this and similar crystallites with relatively low values (that is, 4–8) (Conley 1966; Zbik and Smart 1997). Smaller crystallites (80–150 nm) are observed on larger crystal surfaces but these appear to be simply deposited rather than attached or intergrown in this sample. Steps are observed (Figure 1A, top right corner) on larger crystal surfaces but they are relatively low in frequency.

On SEM micrographs of the North Queensland kaolinite (Figure 2), giving XRD patterns showing no
other crystalline phases, thin, euhedral to subhedral pseudo-hexagonal flakes are found in vertical projections (Figure 2A) with relatively very rough surfaces (Figure 2B, C) and ragged edges (Figures 2B, C). In general, there are 2 different morphologies of particles in the North Queensland kaolinite. Larger, thin particles with lateral dimensions about 350–650 nm, seen as the “substrates” in Figures 2A, 2B and 2C, together with much smaller micro-islands (50–100 nm lateral dimensions) attached or inter-grown to the larger particle surfaces. The larger particles also exhibit a very high frequency of steps (<10 nm) and micro-valleys (20–30 nm wide and a few nm deep). The overall particle shapes are therefore relatively complex, ragged with comparatively thin edges giving higher aspect ratio values. In these micrographs, chosen to illustrate the general characteristics of 120 particles examined, there is some evidence of curvature in some of the surfaces of the larger platelets (Figure 2C) also observed in AFM images (Figure 6).

The concept of aspect ratio applied to the North Queensland kaolinite particles provides some difficulties where the structure consists of larger platelets with relatively high aspect ratio (between 8 and 20) but with many smaller, relatively compact crystallites of lower aspect ratios (~3.5) bonded to the 001 basal plane and to each other by (110) and (010) planes. Such particles, like those shown in SEM micrograph Figure 2C, present as lateral stacks. They have been observed also on AFM micrographs. Concepts associated with the proportion of edge-to-basal sites, extrapolated from the idealized kaolinite plate model, also provide a challenge in these poorly crystalline kaolin materials. Some comments are made after the AFM discussion below.

AFM images of the well-crystallized kaolinite from Georgia (Figure 3) show well-developed euhedral, hexagonal crystallites (300–900 nm in lateral dimensions) again with relatively smooth basal (001) surfaces and well-defined edges. The smooth nature of

Figure 3. AFM micrographs on well-crystallized Georgia kaolinite KGa-1, revealed characteristic hexagonal symmetry (a, b and c) smooth basal surface with fissures (b and d) and multilayer cascade grown structures on the basal surface (b and c). The apparent inclination of the edges to the basal planes is an artifact of the tip geometry (a and b). Arrows indicate features discussed in the text.
Figure 4. AFM micrographs from the surface of North Queensland kaolinite platelets revealed shapeless platelets (a) with steps, mostly single unit cell in height, on the basal surfaces (b, c and d). Arrows indicate features discussed in the text.

The majority of the basal planes appear smooth across 200–500 nm regions (Figure 3a) but many particles display massive cascade-like growth structures forming steps with 50–100 nm dimensions (Figure 3b). These dimensions combine the height and width of the step. There are also some examples (Figure 3c) where apparently anhedral kaolinite crystallites about 250 nm in dimensions appear to be attached to larger platelet surfaces. They may represent less- or poorly crystallized kaolinite as in the North Queensland example but they are relatively rare in the Georgia kaolin sample. In most cases, the step edges appear to be bevelled and relatively smooth rather than sharp and at right angles to the basal surface (001). The same inclination has been shown on crystal edges, that is, (110) and (010) surfaces on all AFM micrographs from these samples. This effect is an artifact caused by the tip dimensions. Details of common artifacts in scanning probe microscope (SPM) have been described in Eggleston (1994) and Nagy (1994). When imaging vertical or steep features, probe geometry is very important. If a standard, low-aspect ratio, pyramid-shaped probe is used, the step will appear to have an angle equal to the solid angle of the probe (70° in our case). Because of this artifact in AFM images, the thick edges of the platelets appear to be inclined to the basal (001) surface and display very narrow (steep) step-like structure, when on SEM micrographs the corresponding edges appear right-angled.

The tip artifacts discussed above can be mistaken for surface morphology features. For example, Weidler et al (1996) reported the presence of heretofore unknown vicinal faces on goethite particles based on AFM images, but these faces in the images are likely to be the result of the same type of tip artifact.
AFM images of the North Queensland kaolinite (Figure 4a) show fragments of larger particles composed of shapeless anhedral platelets 100–250 nm in dimensions with evidence of curvature in the basal surfaces. Enlargement of platelets on this micrograph reveals the fine layered structure on the surface with 5–20 nm wide steps having ragged edges and differing in size both in vertical and ledge dimensions (Figure 4b).

Further examples from different grains are shown on micrographs in Figure 4c and 4d. Figure 4c illustrates microvalley structures with irregular slopes and 20–50 nm wide plateaus. In Figure 4c the steps distinctly follow crystallographic directions, although they are still highly irregular on the nm scale (Figure 4d, enlargement of part of Figure 4c). It appears possible from the micrographs that there are also vertical steps following crystallographic directions in this nm-scale irregularity. It is possible that the microvalleys in the surfaces of the larger kaolinite platelets reflect the lateral stacking unique to the North Queensland kaolinite structure. They are observed in both AFM (Figure 4c) and SEM (Figure 2b) micrographs. It appears that the microvalley systems may have developed at (110) and (010) crystallite borders between adjacent microcrystallites attached to the basal plane and in the basal planes of polycrystalline platelets.

Line scans (Figure 5) from one of these stepped structures reveal individual steps (marked) with about 0.75 ± 0.1 nm height in reasonable agreement with the thickness of individual kaolinite layers (0.713 nm). In the case of the secondary North Queensland kaolin, this nanomorphology of the surfaces is likely to have resulted from dissolution in leaching.

Planimetric measurements from micrographs of North Queensland kaolinite (Figures 4b, 4c and 4d) suggest that the microcrystalline platelets attached to the larger particle surfaces contribute additional step edge site areas of 4.7%, 1.9%, 1.6% and 3.8% respectively with an average value near 3% for the top (exposed) side of the crystallite. Hence, the 2 basal planes of the platelet would contribute ~6%. These values are likely to represent the lower limit because measurements assume monolayer thick steps and flat edge surfaces whereas, in reality, these edges can be highly stepped and ragged. Brady et al. (1996) also estimate that the additional contribution from step edges across the basal plane of KGa-1 kaolinite approaches 5% of the particle’s total geometric surface area. Nevertheless, adding this value to the edge contributions in a bulk North Queensland kaolin (above) gives a total edge area contribution estimated from AFM measurements of more than 30%.

The similarity of the step edge contributions between Georgia KGa-1 and North Queensland kaolinites, despite their very different morphologies, is interesting. The primary reason appears to be that the multisteps on the North Queensland surfaces are small (nm) whereas the fewer steps on the Georgia surfaces are much higher (~10 nm).

In general, SEM and AFM images, derived from very different imaging principles, are in good agreement for both Georgia and North Queensland kaolinite crystals. There is, however, additional information in the AFM images from both surfaces, particularly in allowing definition of the dimensions of steps, ledges and in defining crystallographic directions on an nm scale. The structure and implied reactivity of defect sites associated with these features, both on stepped basal planes and on edges, can be estimated from the AFM images. There are also interesting suggestions of curvature in both SEM and AFM images, particularly associated with the poorly crystalline North Queensland kaolin particles. This observation of curvature requires verification since it may be associated with the sample preparation technique (although this is different between SEM and AFM) or with an artifact of contrast in the imaging.

The highly stepped and irregular structures of the North Queensland kaolin might suggest some loss of optical properties in paper coating. In practice, larger North Queensland kaolinite crystals immobilized in paper coating structures (Figure 6), and crystallites on top of these platelets, show the hexagonal and pseudo-hexagonal symmetry more obviously than those examined before coating. The presence of binders clearly smooths the surface roughness to produce good dispersion and orientation in the paper coating. This kaolinite platelet (about 0.8 μm of lateral dimensions)
Figure 6. AFM micrograph of large platelet of the North Queensland kaolinite at the top of paper coating structure, showing evidence of lateral stacks present in this unique kaolin.

revealed a mosaic of many smaller crystals (about 50 nm lateral dimensions) stacked together along (110) and (010) planes. In North Queensland kaolin the largest platelets commonly consist of unique horizontal stacks as observed on SEM (Figure 2c) and AFM micrographs (Figure 6) before paper coating, in addition to the multilayered vertical stacks which have been observed usually in most kaolinites.
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